1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 10 11 DARRELL CONNERS, Case No. 3:15-cv-00372-RCJ-CLB 12 **ORDER** Petitioner. 13 v. 14 RENEE BAKER, et al., 15 Respondents. 16 17 Petitioner having filed a motion to reopen (ECF No. 60), and good cause appearing; 18 IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that petitioner's unopposed motion to reopen (ECF No. 19 60) is **GRANTED**. The clerk of the court shall reopen this action and lift the stay. 20 IT FURTHER IS ORDERED that respondents shall file a response to the amended 21 petition (ECF No. 34), including potentially by motion to dismiss, within sixty (60) days of 22 service of an amended petition and that petitioner may file a reply within sixty (60) days of 23 service of an answer. The response and reply time to any motion filed by either party, including a 24 motion filed in lieu of a pleading, shall be governed instead by Local Rule LR 7-2(b). IT FURTHER IS ORDERED that any procedural defenses raised by respondents to the 25 26 counseled amended petition shall be raised together in a single consolidated motion to dismiss. In 27 other words, the Court does not wish to address any procedural defenses raised herein either in 28 serial fashion in multiple successive motions to dismiss or embedded in the answer. Procedural

defenses omitted from such motion to dismiss will be subject to potential waiver. Respondents shall not file a response in this case that consolidates their procedural defenses, if any, with their response on the merits, except pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b)(2) as to any unexhausted claims clearly lacking merit. If respondents do seek dismissal of unexhausted claims under § 2254(b)(2): (a) they shall do so within the single motion to dismiss not in the answer; and (b) they shall specifically direct their argument to the standard for dismissal under § 2254(b)(2) set forth in Cassett v. Stewart, 406 F.3d 614, 623-24 (9th Cir. 2005). In short, no procedural defenses, including exhaustion, shall be included with the merits in an answer. All procedural defenses, including exhaustion, instead must be raised by motion to dismiss.

IT FURTHER IS ORDERED that, in any answer filed on the merits, respondents shall specifically cite to and address the applicable state court written decision and state court record materials, if any, regarding each claim within the response as to that claim.

IT FURTHER IS ORDERED that, notwithstanding Local Rule LR IC 2-2(g) paper copies of any electronically filed exhibits need not be provided to chambers or to the staff attorney, unless later directed by the court.

DATED: February 6, 2020.

ROBERT C. JONES
United States District Judge